

Robert J. Kent, CAB #250905;
rjkent@fr.com

****E-Filed 6/29/2010****

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
Redwood City, CA 94063
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

Attorney for Defendant
EXERGEN CORPORATION

Kathryn G. Spelman, CAB #154512;
kspelman@mount.com
Daniel H. Fingerman, CAB #229683;

dfingerman@mount.com
MOUNT & STOELKER, PC
River Park Tower, Suite 1650
333 W. San Carlos Sreet
San Jose, CA 95110
Telephone: (408) 279-7000
Facsimile: (408) 998-1473

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(SAN JOSE DIVISION)

SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Case No. CV10-00966 JF

Plaintiff,

STIPULATION UNDER LOCAL RULES 6-1 AND 6-2 AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER SHORTENING TIME

v.

THE GLAD PRODUCTS COMPANY, BAJER
DESIGN & MARKETING INC., BAYER
CORPORATION, BRIGHT IMAGE
CORPORATION, CHURCH & DWIGHT CO.
INC., COLGAGE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY,
COMBE INCORPORATED, THE DIAL
CORPORATION, EXERGEN CORPORATION,
GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC, HI-TECH
PHARMACAL CO. INC., JOHNSON
PRODUCTS COMPANY INC., MAYBELLINE
LLC, MCNEIL-PPC INC., MEDTECH
PRODUCTS INC., PLAYTEX PRODUCTS
INC., RECKITT BENCKISER INC., ROCHE
DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION,
SOFTSHEEN-CARSON LLC, SUN
PRODUCTS CORPORATION, SUNSTART
AMERICAS INC.,

Defendants.

STIPULATION UNDER LOCAL RULES 6-1 AND 6-2 AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER SHORTENING TIME
Case No. CV10-00966 JF

1 Defendant Exergen Corporation (“Exergen”) and Plaintiff San Francisco Technology, Inc.
2 (“SF Tech”) through their respective counsel, hereby make the following stipulation:

3 WHEREAS, Plaintiff SF Tech filed its complaint (D.I. 1) on March 5, 2010 (“the
4 Complaint”);

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff SF Tech served the Summons and Complaint on Exergen via U.S.
6 Mail on June 15, 2010 (Kent Decl., ¶3);

7 WHEREAS, Exergen received the Summons and Complaint on June 18, 2010 (Kent Decl.,
8 ¶4);

9 WHEREAS, pursuant to CCP § 415.40 (applicable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(h)(1)(A)
10 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1)) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)(i), the deadline for Exergen to move
11 or plead in response to the Complaint is July 21, 2010;

12 WHEREAS, Exergen intends to file a pre-answer motion pursuant, *inter alia*, to Fed. R.
13 Civ. P. 12(b);

14 WHEREAS, certain other defendants have filed pre-answer motions;

15 WHEREAS, on May 18, 2010, the Clerk in this matter posted a notice (D.I. 186) setting a
16 conference on July 8, 2010 to hear the defendants’ motions to dismiss, motions to stay, and
17 motions to sever; and

18 WHEREAS, the parties believe that the interests of judicial efficiency favor hearing
19 Exergen’s motion on July 8, 2010, together with the pre-answer motions of Exergen’s co-
20 defendants,

21 NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE THAT:

22 Defendant Exergen shall file any motion to dismiss, motion to stay, or motion to sever no
23 later than June 30, 2010;

24 Plaintiff SF Tech shall file its opposition to Exergen’s motion, if necessary, no later than
25 July 7, 2010; and

26 Any such motion shall be heard during the July 8, 2010 hearing currently scheduled in this
27 case.

28 ///

1 Dated: June 24, 2010

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

2
3 By: /s/ Robert J. Kent
Robert J. Kent

4 Attorney for Defendant
5 EXERGEN CORPORATION

6 Dated: June 24, 2010

MOUNT & STOELKER, PC

7
8 By: /s/ Robert J. Kent on behalf of
9 Daniel H. Fingerman
Daniel H. Fingerman

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.

12 **DECLARATION**

13 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under
14 penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel
15 Fingerman.

16
17 Dated: June 24, 2010

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

18
19 By: /s/ Robert Kent
Robert J. Kent

20 Attorney for Defendant
21 EXERGEN CORPORATION

22
23 ///

24 ///

25 ///


26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/29/2010



THE HONORABLE JEROME FOGEL
United States District Court Judge

50720060.doc

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 24, 2010, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of the **STIPULATION UNDER LOCAL RULES 6-1 AND 6-2 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SHORTENING TIME** via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule 5-4 and General Order 45. Any other counsel of record will be served by first class mail.

/s/ Robert J. Kent

Robert J. Kent

50720060.doc